

Electronic Assessment of Suicidal Ideation and Behavior for Meta-Analyses across Multiple Trials and Treatment Indications

Abstract

Methodological Question: The 2012 FDA guidance regarding suicidal ideation and behavior (SIB) recommends prospective assessment of SIB at baseline and all planned follow-up visits when other clinical outcomes are to be collected in all drug development trials for psychiatric indications, as well as for antiepileptic or other drugs with CNS activity. A primary reason for this recommendation is to guarantee more complete SIB assessments concurrent with administered treatments and placebo, and signals indicative of increased risk would be easier to detect in individual trials. Aggregation of data across multiple trials for meta-analyses could more readily confirm true signals or provide counter-evidence of spurious, false-positive events, providing better sensitivity and specificity estimates. The FDA guidance states "The full assessment of suicidal ideation and behavior generally should involve a pooled analysis of all controlled trials, so that it will not be possible to conclude that a drug has no effect on suicidal ideation and behavior until a substantial database is available for this analysis."

Aims: Databases created from use of the C-SSRS (or other acceptable instruments, such as the electronic self-report eC-SSRS) that directly classify SIB into preferred categories can provide the basis for such analyses. Aggregation and analysis of the database described below was designed to meet the FDA recommendations and to provide clinical insight regarding differences in SIB prevalence rates among patients participating in clinical studies investigating treatments for different therapeutic areas and indications.

Methods: Data from 74,406 eC-SSRS assessments of SIB were extracted from 35 clinical trials. Patient-reported suicidal ideation and behavior were compared at baseline (lifetime) and prospectively during trial participation across multiple therapeutic areas and 17 treatment indications. Trials were categorized as Psychiatric (MDD, PTSD, opioid dependency, GAD), Neurologic (pain, epilepsy, insomnia, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, restless leg syndrome), or non-CNS studies (pulmonary, fibromyalgia, analgesia, anti-viral).

Results: The number and type of eC-SSRS assessments administered within each therapeutic category and the percentage of observations with each SIB are provided in Table 2.

Conclusions: Neurologic and non-CNS studies found similar prevalence rates of SIB using the eC-SSRS at baseline and prospectively during study participation. These rates were substantially less than the suicidal ideation and behavior rates self-reported by psychiatric patients. Although less frequent, positive findings were a substantial safety concern in these non-psychiatric trials.

Introduction

suicidal ideation and behavior (SIB) were associated with ideation and behavior generally should involve a pooled certain medications^{1,2}, the U.S. Food and Drug Adminis- analysis of all controlled trials, so that it will not be possible tration (FDA) determined a need for systematic prospec- to conclude that a drug has no effect on suicidal ideation tive assessment of the possible suicide risk in some medi- and behavior until a substantial database is available for cations under development. Initial results were based on this analysis." meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and derived from adverse event case-reports of various kinds. In the FDA draft guidance, the required categories were ad-The process was burdensome and handicapped by the opted from the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (Cretrospective and poor quality (e.g., missing data) of the SSRS). The guidance recommends use of the C-SSRS5 source data, and such methodological limitations impaired or other acceptable modes of administration, such as the the ability to draw causal conclusions. The FDA determined electronic self-report eC-SSRS⁶. These instruments dithat prospective assessment would provide greater safe- rectly classify SIB into preferred categories identified in ty for subjects and better quality data for meta-analyses. the guidance. Aggregation of data and analysis of the FDA issued two draft guidance documents describing the database described below was designed to meet the FDA desired prospective process for assessing SIB. ^{3,4}

ministered treatments and placebo. Aggregation of data subjects with psychiatric disorders across multiple trials for meta-analyses could more readily establish true risk or lack thereof and provide counter-evidence of post-marketing spurious, false-positive events.

Following analyses showing that retrospective reports of The FDA guidance states "The full assessment of suicidal

recommendations.

The 2012 FDA draft guidance recommends prospective These databases also provide an opportunity for gatherassessment of SIB at baseline and all planned follow-up ing SIB prevalence rates across several therapeutic arvisits when other clinical measures are to be collected eas, many different indications and medications. A previin all drug development trials for psychiatric indications ous analysis of 35,224 eC-SSRS assessments⁷ involved and for antiepileptic or other drugs with CNS activity. almost exclusively psychiatric disorders (99%) of depression (MDD) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). FDA Guidance also identified the importance of discrimi- The results were limited in regards to their ability to pronating SIB from Non-Suicidal Self Injurious Behavior (NS- vide meaningful data using the eC-SSRS in non-psychiat-SIB) with no intent to die. Beyond protecting subjects, a ric disorders. This poster presents data addressing those primary reason for this recommendation was to guarantee limitations with a larger number of subjects participating timely and complete SIB assessments concurrent with ad- in multiple non-psychiatric research studies in addition to



Healthcare Technology Systems* Help People Nping Technology

Methods

Data from 74,406 eC-SSRS assessments of SIB were extracted from 35 clinical trials. Patient-reported ideation and behavior were compared at baseline (lifetime assessment of the most severe ideation and all prior suicidal behaviors) and prospectively during trial participation across three broad therapeutic areas and 17 treatment indications. Trials were categorized as Psychiatric (Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Major Depressive Disorder, Opioid Dependency and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder), Neurologic (epilepsy, insomnia, multiple sclerosis, pain, Parkinson's disease, restless leg syndrome), or non-CNS studies (analgesia, Hepatitis C, fibromyalgia and pulmonary). Each assessment covered the same questions about suicidal ideation and behaviors defined in Table 1.

After each assessment, a report was sent to the site. Figure 1 illustrates a positive report.

Results Call completion time	e in minutes (SD):
Baseline (lifetime) Calls (14,136)	Negative Calls (77.9%
Since Last Call (60,270)	Negative Calls (98.6%
Table 2 The nur	mber and type of eC-

		Patient-reported Most Severe Suicidal Ideation (%)						Patient-reported Suicidal Behavior (%)				Positive Reports %
Therapeutic Category	eC-SSRS Assessments	0	1	2	3	4	5	Prepar Behav	Aborted	Interrupt	Actual	Ideation 4 or 5 or any Behavior
Psychiatric	Baseline = 10,233	46.40	19.83	8.66	10.64	8.34	6.14	6.54	15.46	12.12	17.56	27.96
(16 studies)	Since Last Call = 51,819	87.55	8.87	1.60	1.29	0.60	0.07	0.19	0.73	0.53	0.21	1.54
Neurologic	Baseline = 1,912	82.01	8.37	2.98	2.98	2.30	1.36	1.62	3.92	2.82	3.29	7.27
(13 studies)	Since Last Call = 4,352	99.24	0.44	0.23	0.05	0.05			0.05	0.07	0.02	0.11
Non-CNS	Baseline = 1,991	82.97	7.73	4.22	2.31	2.06	0.70	1.00	3.82	2.96	3.16	6.18
(6 studies)	Since Last Call = 4,099	98.88	0.93	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.29

Conclusions

eC-SSRS completion times were comparable to those found in an earlier analysis of 35,224 eC-SSRS assessments where more than 90% of the population had psychiatric disorders⁶. The short completion times indicate low time burden for patients and staff with use of a fully structured self-report SIB assessment.

Consistent with clinical expectation, overall SIB rates for Neurologic and non-CNS disorders were substantially lower than reported by psychiatric patients. Although less frequent in non-psychiatric disorders, positive findings remain concerns for subject safety during trials and possible risk associated with medications being studied. Lifetime and prospective assessments address these concerns across all disorders.

NSSIB prevalence, both lifetime and prospectively reported, follows the same patterns as SIB. NSSIB is more frequent in psychiatric (4.41% lifetime and .13% prospectively reported) than non-psychiatric disorders (2.55% lifetime and .06% prospectively reported) while less frequent than SIB in both groups. The importance of distinguishing NSSIB from SIB in ruling out treatment emergence of SIB is supported by finding substantial NSSIB prevalence.

The findings of these analyses support the use of eC-SSRS lifetime and prospective assessment of SIB across a broad range of disorders to improve subject safety and to identify true rates of risk. Assessments in RCTs can also provide strong evidence of risk. Please see "Risk of Prospective Suicidal Behavior Reports among Psychiatric and non-Psychiatric Patients using Lifetime Reports at Baseline" for data on the role of eC-SSRS lifetime assessment in prediction of short-term risk during remaining trial participation.

Study Limitations

- Lifetime reports of SIB, in the present baseline assessments, do not consider the recency of reported SIB in estimating the risk of prospective reports of suicidal behavior during study participation.
- All data included in these analyses were collected using the same instrument, the eC-SSRS, and a common IVRS interface to obtain the self-reported responses.
- The analyses of eC-SSRS assessments do not address the extent to which participant reports of SIB were, or were not, confirmed by clinician follow-up.

	Table 1. Suicidal Ideations and Behavior (SIB) assessed by the eC-S
Suicidal Ideation	Definitions
0 1 2 3 4 5	No Lifetime Suicidal Ideation Passive ideation Active Ideation: Nonspecific (No method, intent, or plan) Active Ideation: Method, but no intent or plan Active Ideation: Method and intent, but no plan Active Ideation: Method, intent, and plan
Suicidal Behavior	Definitions
Preparatory Aborted Interrupted Actual	Any suicidal behavior that stops short of an actual, interrupted, or aborted a Suicidal behavior toward making an attempt, but stopping self before making Behavior toward a suicide attempt, but interrupted by someone before actu Potentially self-injurious behavior with some degree of intent to die as a res

Positive Calls (22.1%) 8.2 min (3.0)

Positive Calls (1.4%) 6.5 min (2.6)

6) 3.0 min (1.8)

 $3.9 \min(2.2)$

C-SSRS assessments administered within each therapeutic category and the percent of observations with each SIB

Disclosures: All authors have a financial interest in the eC-SSRS. ERT provides electronic patient reported outcome services to industry-sponsored clinical trials, including eC-SSRS assessments. Licensing fees are provided to the Research Foundation for Mental Hygiene and Healthcare Technology Systems for delivery of eC-SSRS[™] assessments. Dr. Mundt is a consultant to ERT and has minor stock holdings in Healthcare Technology Systems. Dr. Posner is Director of the Center for Suicide Risk Assessment at the Research Foundation for Mental Hygiene. Dr. Greist is a principal stock shareholder of Healthcare Technology Systems. Mr. Federico is Vice President of ePRO Solutions for

Affiliations:

1 Healthcare Technology Systems 2 Center for Psychological Consultation

3 ERT

4 Columbia University, New York State Psychiatric Institute





References

- 1. Hammad TA, Laughren T, Racoosin J. Suicidality in pediatric patients treated with antidepressant drugs. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006:63:332-9.
- 2. Patorno E, Bohn RL, Wahl PM, Avorn J, Patrick AR, Liu J, Schneeweiss S. Anticonvulsant medications and the risk of suicide, attempted suicide, or violent death. JAMA. 2010;303:1401-9.
- B. Guidance for Industry. Suicidality: Prospective Assessment of Occurrence in Clinical Trials. DRAFT GUIDANCE. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Food and Drug Administration. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). September 2010
- 4. Guidance for Industry. Suicidal Ideation and Behavior: Prospective Assessment of Occurrence in Clinical Trials. DRAFT GUIDANCE. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). August
- 5.Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, et al. The Columbia-Suicide Se¬verity Rating Scale (C-SSRS): Initial Validity and Internal Consis-tency Findings from Three Multi-Site Studies with Adolescents and Adults. Am J Psychiatry. 2011;168:1266-77.
- 6. Mundt JC, Greist JH, Gelenberg AJ, Katzelnick DJ, Jefferson JW, Modell JG. Feasibility and validation of a computer-automated Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale using interactive voice response technology. J Psychiatr Res. 2010;44:1224-8.
- 7.Mundt JC, Posner K, Greist JH, Federico M. eC-SSRS Assessments of Lifetime Ideation and Behavior are Predictive of Suicidal Behaviors Occurring During Trial Participation Presented at the Autumn meeting of the International Society for CNS Trials and Methodology. October 3, 2011. Amelia Island, FL.

